

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,796	-	07/22/2003	Kiyotaka Touma	108421-00076		
4372	7590	11/15/2004		EXAMINER		
ARENT FO	X KINT ECTICU	'nER PLOTKΩ Γ AVENUE, N.V	MORILLO, JANELL COMBS			
SUITE 400			' ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	ON, DC	20036		1742		
				DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004	ı	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	10/623,796	TOUMA ET AL.	P					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply			ress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	ulv 2003.							
	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa								
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.0.210.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn fro	om consideration							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	on consideration.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See :	37 CFR 1.85(a)						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to Sec. 37 CER 4 134(4)								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary (P]	FO 442)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 121903.	5) U Notice of Informal Pate	nt Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 121903. 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, drawn to aluminum alloy, classified in class 420, subclass 528.
- II. Claim 4, drawn to process of casting an aluminum alloy, classified in class 164, subclass 479.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process such as DC casting.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with George Oram on September 2, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-3. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 4 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/623,796

Art Unit: 1742

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jin et al (US 6,120,621).

Jin teaches an aluminum alloy (abstract, claim 1) produced by continuous casting (column 3 lines 3-5) without peeling or removing a layer of as-cast surface, and which has a surface segregation layer (#27) that can be $100-150 \mu m$ (0.1-0.15mm) thick (Ex. 5).

Jin does not mention the alloys' surface roughness is not more than Ra 35. However, where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). "When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not." *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Because Jin teaches an aluminum alloy processed in a substantially similar method, then substantially the same properties, such as surface roughness, is also expected to occur. Therefore

Application/Control Number: 10/623,796

Art Unit: 1742

it is held that Jin has created a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jin et al (US 6,120,621), as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Igarashi (US 2,166,496).

Jin does not mention the added Ca to said alloy. However, Igarashi teaches that the addition of 0.01-0.2% Ca is effective in eliminating cracking, producing a fine grain structure, and improving forgeability (column 1 lines 35-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add Ca to the aluminum alloy taught by Jin because Igarashi teaches that the addition of 0.01-0.2% Ca is effective in eliminating cracking, produces a fine grain structure, and improves forgeability (column 1 lines 35-42).

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jin et al (US 6,120,621) in view of "Aluminum and Aluminum Alloys" p. 88-89.

Jin does not mention the added Be to said alloy. However, "Aluminum and Aluminum Alloys" teaches Be is added in the range of a few parts per million (wherein 1 ppm=0.0001%) to aluminum alloys to reduce oxidation (p. 88). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include Be in the range of a few ppm to the aluminum alloy taught by Jin, because "Aluminum and Aluminum Alloys" teaches that Be in said range reduces oxidation (p. 88).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am- 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,796

Art Unit: 1742

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 2, 2004